Senator Rory J. Respicio CHAIRPERSON MAJORITY LEADER

January 14, 2016

Senator Thomas C. Ada VICE CHAIRPERSON ASSISTANT MAJORITY LEADER

Memorandum

To:

From:

Speaker Judith T.P. Won Pat, Ed.D.

Rennae MenoClerk of the Legislature

Member

Cierk of the Legisiature

Vice-Speaker

Senator Rory J. Respicio *Majority Leader & Rules Chair*

Benjamin J.F. Cruz Member

Member

Member

Fiscal Note

Legislative Secretary Tina Rose Muna Barnes Member

Hafa Adai!

Subject:

Senator Dennis G. Rodriguez, Jr. Attached please find the fiscal note for the bill number listed below. Please note that the fiscal note and fiscal note waivers are issued on the bills as introduced.

Senator Frank Blas Aguon, Jr. Member

FISCAL NOTES:

Senator Michael F.Q. San Nicolas Bill No. 220-33(COR)

Senator Nerissa Bretania Underwood Member Please forward the same to MIS for posting on our website. Please contact our office should you have any questions regarding this matter.

V. Anthony Ada MINORITY LEADER Si Yu'os ma'åse'!

Mary C. Torres MINORITY MEMBER

Bureau of Budget & Management Research Fiscal Note of Bill No. 220-33 (COR)

AN ACT TO PROHIBIT THE PROPOSED STORM-WATER GRAVITY BAY OUTLET AS AN OPTION FOR THE MITIGATION OF FLOODING ALONG THE SAN VITORES ROAD, TO REQUIRE THE FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATE OPTIONS THAT PROTECTS THE INTEGRITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND TUMON BAY, AND TO MANNDATE THE SUBMISSION OF FINANCING OPTIONS FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDS THAT MAY BE NEEDED TO COMPLETE THE SAN VITORES FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECT WITHIN 90 DAYS OF ENACTMENT.

Department/Agency Appropriation Information					
Dept./Agency Affected: Guam Economic Development Authority (GEDA)	Administrator				
Department's General Fund (GF) appropriation(s) to date:		-			
Department's Other Fund (Specify) appropriation(s) to date:					
Total Department/Agency Appropriation(s) to date:		\$0			

	General Fund:	(Specify Special Fund):	Total:
FY 2015 Unreserved Fund Balance		\$0	SO
FY 2016 Adopted Revenues	\$0	\$0	SC
FY 2016 Appro. <u>(P.L. 33-66 thru</u>)	\$0	\$0	so
Sub-total:		\$0	\$0
Less appropriation in Bill	\$0	\$0	SC
Total:	\$0	\$0	so

		Esti	mated Fiscal Impact	of Bill		
	One Full Fiscal Year	For Remainder of FY 2016 (if applicable)	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Infrastructure Improvement Bond '97	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	so
Total	1/	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

1. Does the bill contain "revenue generating" provisions?	/ / Yes	/X/ No
If Yes, see attachment		
2. Is amount appropriated adequate to fund the intent of the appropriation? /X/ N/A	/ / Yes	/ / No
If no, what is the additional amount required? \$ /X/ N/A		
3. Does the Bill establish a new program/agency?	/ / Yes	/ X / No
If yes, will the program duplicate existing programs/agencies? // N/A	/ / Yes	/ X / No
Is there a federal mandate to establish the program/agency?	/ / Yes	/ X/ No
4. Will the enactment of this Bill require new physical facilities?	/ / Yes	/ / No
5. Was Fiscal Note coordinated with the affected dept/agency? If no, indicate reason:	/X/ Yes	/ / No
//Requested agency comments not received by due date // Other:		

Analyst:	William P. Paitingtons	Date: 1/16/14 BMA Supvr.	Director:	M 0 82016

Footnotes: 1/

The purpose of Bill No. 220-33 (COR) is to prohibit the development of storm-water gravity outlet overflow system in Tumon Bay along the shoreline as an option for the mitigation of flooding along the San Vitores Road. The GEDA is directed to consider other alternative options that protect the integrity of the environment and Tumon Bay to include a list of viable financing options to address the potential need for additional funding to complete and sustain the San Vitores Road flood mitigation project. Based on GEDA's San Vitores Road Flood Mitigation Project Report, Final as of January 2014, and prepared by Stanley Consultants, six (6) viable improvement alternatives were developed and evaluated. These Alternatives and Project Cost Estimates are: 1) Expanded Detention - \$26.3M; 2) Gravity Ocean Outlet - \$27.1M; 3) Gravity/Pump Ocean Outlet - \$22.3M; 4) Gravity Bay Outlet - \$6.1M; 5) Pump to Sink - \$26.6M; and 6) Pump to Quarry - \$26.0M. The Gravity Bay Outlet was selected by GEDA as the most cost effective alternative and is the only alternative that is under the \$12M budget. GEDA estimates the additional fiscal impact to be as much as \$21M which is the cost difference between the most costly Gravity Ocean Outlet option and the Gravity Bay Outlet option (\$27.1M minus \$6.1M =\$21M). Please note that based on a GEDA letter dated December 18, 2015 to Interested Parties relative to the Tumon Flood Mitigation Project, due to strong public sentiment against the Gravity Bay Outlet option, the GEDA decided not to explore options that discharge runoff into the bay, instead will begin the construction of Phase 2 improvements. The Phase 2 improvements will take approximately one year, during which additional options can be assessed and additional funding can be sought for Phase 3 (The final disposal option to resolve flooding in target area).